

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,837	09/30/2003	Markus Cherdron	09700.0052-00	3769
60668 7590 11/05/2007 SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP			EXAMINER	
901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		TECKLU, ISAAC TUKU	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			NAME DATES	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Br	ief			

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/676,837	CHERDRON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Isaac T. Tecklu	2192

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 August 0207 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \sqrt{\text{will not be entered, or b) } \text{X} \text{ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8.  $\square$  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments filed 08/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the remark the Applicant argues:

Figure 1 and 2 of Weitzman fails to disclose "a view having at least one user interface (UI)" and "the design-time data structure including a structure element that is bound to the UI element" (page 9). Examiner's Response:

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111 (b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. The argument is therefore not persuasive.

It is respectfully submitted that Fig 1, 2, 3A and Fig. 13 show view having at least one user interface (UI) and the design-time data structure including a structure element that is bound to the UI element. For example in FIG. 2, the view 3 and controller 2 are combined into a single component 201. In Java it is combined into the single ComponentUI element 201. Most of the Java Swing interface widgets employ the MVC way to visualize data. This enables multiple User Interface (UI) components to translator into the same model data and be appropriately updated when changes occur. This modularity provides great flexibility. In addition to the above paragraph [0116] discloses when the user interacts with an individual image in a view (by way of a keyboard or mouse entry) the UI presents information to the controller object that triggers an update in the model via its translators.

In the remark the Applicant argues:

Weitzman clearly refer to the length of time an application runs not "run-time data structure" as recited in claim 17 (page 10).

## Examiner's Response:

It is respectfully submitted that Weitzmn discloses run-time data structure in paragraph [0159] (e.g. Dynamic information). A very wide range of status information is displayed using the present invention. In one embodiment, each peer is required to supply predefined information in a predefined format. Status information includes static information such as hardware and software resource including name, amount, model, version and the like. This includes resources such as processor, i/o, peripherals, memory, performance (speed), cache size, operating system, applications and API's. Dynamic information includes instantaneous snapshots, time averaged and threshold events. Dynamic information includes processor and i/o utilization, response time, error rate, Network performance, application run time, queue activity, availability information and the like.

Example Fig. 4B, 452-454

In the remark the Applicant argues:

Weitzman fails to disclose all of the subject matter recited in Applicant's independent claim 17 (page 11).

## Examiner's Response:

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111 (b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. The argument is therefore not persuasive..

TUAN DAIN TUAN PATENT EXAMINER